

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/820,891	03/30/2001	Ray Bentley	36488-169756	36488-169756 4447	
26694	7590 02/24/2006		EXAMINER		
VENABLE LLP P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20045-9998			FRANCIS, MARK P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2193		
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2000	DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
09/820,891		BENTLEY, RAY		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Mark P. Francis	2193		

	Mark P. Francis	2193					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 21 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL.							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	neauco				
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li> </ul>							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTC 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	nowabie ii subiliitted iii a separate,	uniciy ilieu amenume	ant canceling the				
<ul> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-42.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ul>		Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	<del>_</del>						
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with repsect to claims 1-25 and 32-42 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The Examiner maintains his grounds of rejection. Following is the Examiner's response

With respect to claims 1,11,25, and 32, Applicant essentially argues that Dingman nor Khoyi teach altering data in a foreign format independently of a conversion process to reflect changes made during editing of data in a native format.

In response, the Examiner disagrees notes Dingman:Col 36:54-67 it is here that Dingman discloses a variety of techniques for tracking changes including change data capture and transaction log mining. The Examiner also notes Col 37:1-20, it is here that Dingman discloses data manipulation operations on one or more objects with the use of the event-driven framework and mode-less adaptors. Dingman also teaches various techniques for integrating disparate applications to perform integration on an object level. For example, two trading partners having different backend accounting system will have to agree on a common interchange format in order to facilitate document exchanging between them and to allow for altering or transforming data to and for ther respective accounting systems independent of the converion process.

With respect to claims 2,11, and 32, Applicant essentially argues that Dingman nor Khoyi suggest tracking changes made to the data during editing.

In response, the Examiner differs notes Dingman Col 33:32-51, it is here that Dingman discloses allowing for transformation to be created or edited in the transformation designer and teaches that the transformation can be saved in the engine database and can be passed back to the transformation in the project designer interface without altering the original template. Thus, Dingman does disclose tracking changes made to the data during editing.

KAKALI CHAKI

TECHNOLOGY CENTER STOR